IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

MARK BARTLEY,	
Plaintiff, v.	C.A. No.: 5:21-cv-738
YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., and ROBERT J. BERNHARD,	
Defendants	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, MARK BARTLEY (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, sues Defendants, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., and ROBERT J. BERNHARD (hereinafter sometimes collectively referred to as "Defendants"), and in support thereof states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action by Plaintiff against his employers for unpaid wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq*. Plaintiff seeks damages for unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, and a reasonable attorney's fee and costs.

JURISDICTION

2. This claim is properly before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this claim arises under federal law, and by the private right of action conferred in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VENUE

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., has offices Bexar County, Texas.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, MARK BARTLEY, is an individual residing in Bexar County, Texas.
- 5. Plaintiff, MARK BARTLEY, was employed by Defendants from March of 2019 until March of 2020, as a truck driver, at the regular rate of \$180.00 per day.
- 6. Plaintiff's principle duties were to drive a tandem axle Mack Truck to haul rock, gravel and other construction materials to and from job sites and quarries located solely within the state of Texas.
- 7. Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., is a limited liability company existing under the laws of the State of Texas and maintains offices in Bexar County,

Texas. Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., is a limited liability company that primarily operates a trucking business and is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

- 8. Defendant, ROBERT J. BERNHARD, is an individual residing in Bexar County, Texas.
- 9. Defendant, ROBERT J. BERNHARD, is an individual who at all times relevant to this matter acted directly or indirectly in the interest of Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., in relationship to Plaintiff's employment; therefore, is a joint employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 10. Defendant, ROBERT J. BERNHARD, (1) possessed the power to hire and fire the employees, (2) supervised and controlled employee work schedules or conditions of employment, (3) determined the rate and method of payment, and (4) maintained employment records. Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., has employees subject to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 206 in the facility where Plaintiff was employed.
- 11. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., employed two or more employees and had an annual dollar volume of sales or business done of at least \$500,000.00.
- 12. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant, YO YO TRUCKING, L.L.C., was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, operating a

business engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by § 3(r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r)-(s). At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants were the employers of the Plaintiff, and as a matter of economic reality, Plaintiff was dependent upon Defendants for his employmen.

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 13. Plaintiff 1) occupied the position of truck driver; 2) did not hold a position considered as exempt under the FLSA; and, 3) was paid on an day-rate basis.
- 14. Defendants' management required Plaintiff to work in excess of 40 hours in a workweek. Plaintiff's regular schedule while employed by the Defendants was from 5:30 a.m. until 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.
- 15. Plaintiff was not paid for his overtime work in accordance with the FLSA.
- 16. Throughout the employment of Plaintiff, Defendants repeatedly and willfully violated Sections 7 and 15 of the Fair Labor Standards Act by failing to compensate Plaintiff at a rate not less than one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of 40 in a workweek.
- 17. Specifically, Plaintiff was never paid any additional half-time premium for the work performed for Defendants that was in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.

- 18. The work schedules for the Plaintiff required him to work in excess of 40 hours in a workweek on a regular and recurring basis during numerous workweeks.
- 19. In fact, Plaintiff worked numerous workweeks wherein he worked between 55 and 60 hours in each workweek of his employment.
- 20. Defendants' policy of not properly paying overtime is company-wide and was willful.
- 21. Defendants either knew about or showed reckless disregard for the matter of whether their conduct was prohibited by the FLSA and failed to act diligently with regard to their obligations as employers under the FLSA.
- 22. Defendants failed to act reasonably to comply with the FLSA, and so Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of liquidated damages in an equal amount as the amount of unpaid overtime pay pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 23. The acts described in the above paragraphs violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, which prohibits the denial of overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.
- 24. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to actual and compensatory damages, including the amount of overtime which was not paid that should have been paid.
 - 25. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable and necessary attorneys'

fees, costs, expert fees, mediator fees and out-of-pocket expenses incurred by bringing this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MARK BARTLEY, demands Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for the following:

- a. Awarding Plaintiff compensatory damages, service awards, attorneys' fees and litigation expenses as provided by law;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment, moratory interest as provided by law, should liquidated damages not be awarded;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages and/or statutory penalties as provided by law;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff, MARK BARTLEY, demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this August 4, 2021.

ROSS • SCALISE LAW GROUP 1104 San Antonio Street Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 474-7677 Telephone (512) 474-5306 Facsimile Charles@rosslawpc.com

CHARLES L. SCALISE

Texas Bar No. 24064621

DANIEL B. ROSS Texas Bar No. 789810

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS